

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE V United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,040		1	11/17/2003	Donald G. Wheatley	1584-003	3219	
	26824 7590 10/26/2005		10/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ALEX RHODES UNIT NO. 9 50168 PONTIAC TRAIL				GORDON, STEPHEN T		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WIXOM, M	II 48393			3612		

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/714,040	WHEATLEY, DONALD G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen Gordon	3612		

•		, C	
	Stephen Gordon	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	Names with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two most	ha of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 7 would be allowed allowable claim(s). 			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi wided below or appended.	ll be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: as per the final rejection.			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>as per the final rejection</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>as per the final rejection</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: as per the final rejection.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: as per the final re	<u>ejection</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut hefere or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will b	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	id sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:		1-1	
		//7/1.1	,
		Stephen Gordon	ispryos
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's comments are noted. In general, with the exception of allowable claim 7, the amended claims raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. Moreover, upon very cursory review of the amended claims at least some of the claims appear to introduce new issues under section 112 first and second paragraphs. Note claim 2 appears to reference terms that are not present in the new base claim from which it now depends. Amended claim 12 now defines a screw through the circular wall portion. While the instant invention includes a screw 28, it does not appear that such screw is positioned as newly recited. This raises potential issues under section 112 - first paragraph for new matter and additionally under section 112 - second paragraph.

Additionally, applicant's comments regarding claim 1 as newly amended are noted. Applicant indicates that new amended claim 1 is now similar to allowed claim 7. While after cursory review it appears applicant in his amendments to claim 1 is potentially moving away from the teachings of Ragsdale, the claim is in several respects sufficiently different from claim 7 such that new consideration is warranted - note for example, newly amended claim 1 defines a threadably engaged fastener not referenced in claim 1 etc. Note also, the broadening amendments to line 2 of claim 1 via removal of the thin wall tube element would additionally involve at least new consideration.

In summary, claims 1, 2-6, and 12-14 as amended would require additional consideration and/or search. Moreover, at least some of the amended claims potentially introduce new issues under section 112. Upon cursory review, it appears applicant's amendments to claim 1 are at least beginning to move away from the teachings of the cited prior art. However, it is not deemed possible to indicate allowability of claim 1 at this time without further consideration deemed beyond the scope of consideration typically afforded after a final rejection.